# Treatment of Fecal Incontinence 2016

## Yishai Ron

Director, Neurogastroenterology & Motility Dept. of Gastroenterology & Hepatology The Tel-Aviv Sourasky Medical Center Israel



# Definition

 Uncontrolled passage of feces or gas over at least 1 month's duration, in an individual of at least 4 years of age, who had previously achieved control

Rao SS; American College of Gastroenterology Practice Parameters Committee. Diagnosis and management of fecal incontinence. American College of Gastroenterology Practice Parameters Committee. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2004;99:1585–1604 Wald A. Clinical practice. Fecal incontinence in adults. *N Engl J Med.* 2007;356:1648–1655. M adoff RD, et al. Faecal incontinence in adults. *Lancet.* 2004;364:621–632.



# **Epidemiology**

- Reported prevalence 1.4% 18%
- Women > 45y, 20% 1/year, 9.5% 1/month

- 2<sup>nd</sup> cause to Institutionalize an old person
- In institutionalized patients 50% have FI

Brown Hw et al. Accidental bowel leakage in the mature women's health study: prevalence and predictors. *Int J Clin Pract.* 2012;66:1101–1108 Ditah I et al. Prevalence, trends, and risk factors for fecal incontinence in United States adults, 2005–2010. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2014;12:636–643.e1–2. Goode PS, et al. Prevalence and correlates of fecal incontinence in community-dwelling older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2005;53:629–635. M arkland AD, et al. Incidence and risk factors for fecal incontinence in black and white older adults: a population-based study. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2010;58:1341–1346.

Whitehead WE, et al; Pelvic Floor Disorders Network. Fecal incontinence in US adults: epidemiology and risk factors. *Gastroenterology*. 2009;137:512–7, 517.e1.



# **FI Severity Scoring**

- Fecal Incontinence Severity Index
- St. Marks Incontinence Score
- Cleveland Clinic Florida Fecal Incontinence Score
- Fecal Incontinence Quality of Life scale

Rockwood TH, et al. Patient and surgeon ranking of the severity of symptoms associated with fecal incontinence: the fecal incontinence severity index. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 1999;42:1525–1532.

Vaizey CJ, et al Prospective comparison of faecal incontinence grading systems. Gut. .80-44:77;1999

Jorge JM, et al Etiology and management of fecal incontinence. Dis Colon Rectum. 1993;36:77–97.

Rockwood TH, et al. Fecal Incontinence Quality of Life Scale: quality of life instrument for patients with fecal incontinence. Dis Colon Rectum. 2000;43:9–10



# Medical Management



Dietary

- Co-existence of diarrhea should be investigated
- Effects of caffeine, sugar replacements, lactose ect. - fecal urgency
- Supplemental fibers to thicken stool consistency
- 22% 54 % of patients can have improvement in FI with formal counseling from a specialist regarding dietary habits



# **Supportive measures**

## Skin care:

- Protective ointments zinc oxide based
- Gentle soaps & wipes
- Deodorants & pads



# **Medications**

- Adsorbents Kaopectate absorbing excess fluid in the stool
- Antidiarrheal agents loperamide / diphenoxylate-atropine
- Tricyclic antidepressants
- Opioids
- Clonidine
- Emptying the rectum by using enemas





- Biofeedback should be considered as an initial treatment for patients with incontinence and some preserved voluntary sphincter contraction
- Goal: improve sensation

coordination

strength

64% - 89% improvement in incontinence episodes



## **Biofeedback Training Device**



# **Surgical Management**



# **Sphincter Repair**

- Sphincteroplasty for defects caused by obstetric injury good-excellent short-term results - 85%
- After 5 years, 10% 14% of patients had sustained improvement
- Questionable value of sphincteroplasty, especially in women who develop incontinence decades after any obstetric trauma

Glasgow SC, et al. Long-term outcomes of anal sphincter repair for fecal incontinence: a systematic review. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2012;55:482–490. Bravo Gutierrez A, et al. Long-term results of anterior sphincteroplasty. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2004;47:727–731. H alverson AL, et al. L. Long-term outcome of overlapping anal sphincter repair. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2002;45:345–348. Vaizey CJ, et al. Long-term results of repeat anterior anal sphincter repair. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2004;47:858–863.



# **Sphincter Repair**

 Repeat repairs are unlikely to be more successful





## Direct Sphincter Repair Apposition & Overlapping

# **Postanal Repair**

 Not recommended - has not shown any or only questionable benefit

• 33-50% success rates







## Park`s Postanal Repair - II



#### 42-85% success

## **Gracilis Muscle Transposition**





## Stimulated Gracilis Muscle Transposition

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Gracilis Muscle Transposition Final Results

# **Injection of Bulking Agents**

- May help to decrease episodes of passive fecal incontinence
- Role for patients with **mild incontinence**
- Injection sites intersphincteric space vs. submucosal
- Techniques ultrasound guided vs. blind
- Results of these studies (24) have been inconsistent and difficult to interpret owing to the multiple compounds and injection techniques that have been used
- No study evaluated the long-term benefits of these therapies

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Injection of Bulking Agents**

Substances:

- Polytetrafluoroethylene paste 1993
- Autologous fat
- Synthetic bovine dermal collagen
- Teflon
- Silicone (PTQ)
- carbon beads
- stabilized hyaluronic acid

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

# **Injection of Bulking Agents**

 Little evidence was present to support the use of perianal bulking injection for FI

- Hyaluronic acid dextranomer gel (NASHA Dx) - 2011
- Decrease in symptoms in 52% of patients at 6 months and at 36 months

Maeda Y, et al. Perianal injectable bulking agents as treatment for faecal incontinence in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010:CD007959* Graf W, et al; NASHA Dx Study Group. Efficacy of dextranomer in stabilised hyaluronic acid for treatment of faecal incontinence: a randomised, sham-control trial. *Lancet.* 2011;377:997–1003.

Danielson J et al. Efficacy and quality of life 2 years after treatment for faecal incontinence with injectable bulking agents. *Tech Coloproctol.* 2013;17:389-399. Maeda Y, et al. Perianal injectable bulking agents as treatment for faecal incontinence in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013;2:CD007959.

# **Injectable Bulking Agents**

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Injection Technique**

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Radiofrequency Energy Delivery

Significant sphincter muscle remodeling marked by:

- Increased smooth muscle / connective tissue ratio
- Increased collagen I compared with collagen III content
- Decrease in the number of interstitial cells of Cajal

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Radiofrequency Energy Delivery

## **SECCA procedure:**

- 220 patients. 10 studies
- 12m, 55% 80% responders some improvement in CCF scores

Efron JE. The SECCA procedure: a new therapy for treatment of fecal incontinence. Surg Technol Int. 2004;13:107–110.

Felt-Bersma RJ, et al. Temperature controlled radiofrequency energy (SECCA) to the anal canal for the treatment of faecal incontinence offers moderate improvement. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2007;19:575–580.

Kim DW, et al. Radiofrequency energy delivery to the anal canal: is it a promising new approach to the treatment of fecal incontinence? *Am J Surg.* .18–197:14;2009

Lefebure B, et al. Temperature-controlled radio frequency energy delivery (Secca procedure) for the treatment of fecal incontinence: results of a prospective study. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2008;23:993–997.

Ruiz D, et al. Does the radiofrequency procedure for fecal incontinence improve quality of life and incontinence at 1-year follow-up? *Dis Colon Rectum*. .1046–53:1041;2010

T akahashi-Monroy T, et al. SECCA procedure for the treatment of fecal incontinence: results of five-year follow-up. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 2008;51:355–359 F rascio M, et al. The SECCA procedure for faecal incontinence: a review. *Colorectal Dis.* .172–16:167;2014

## **The SECCA Procedure**

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

# First-line surgical option for incontinent patients with and without sphincter defects

Modulate rectal sensation by:

- Activating or deactivating chemical mediating receptors
- Stimulating the afferent pathway
- Changing brain activity relevant to the continence mechanism

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

Lundby L, et al. Relief of fecal incontinence by sacral nerve stimulation linked to focal brain activation. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 2011;54:318–323. M ichelsen HB, et al. Six years of experience with sacral nerve stimulation for fecal incontinence. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 421–53:414;2010

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Test your Patients before Implant

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

Per protocol (stage 2):

- Short term (0–12m) ≥50% improvements in weekly FI episodes 79%
- Long-term (> 36m) ≥50% improvements in weekly FI episodes 84%
- ITT analysis (stages 1+2):
- Short term (0–12m) ≥50% improvements in weekly FI episodes 63%
- 35% of patients achieve 100% continence at long-term follow-up

Thin NN, et al. Systematic review of the clinical effectiveness of neuromodulation in the treatment of faecal incontinence. *Br J Surg.* 2013;100:1430–1447 Hull T, et al; SNS Study Group. Long-term durability of sacral nerve stimulation therapy for chronic fecal incontinence. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 2013;56:234–245

- Good safety profile
- Infection rate 10.8%
- At 5y, 24.4% of patients required at least 1 revision or replacement

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

Hull T, et al; SNS Study Group. Long-term durability of sacral nerve stimulation therapy for chronic fecal incontinence. Dis Colon Rectum. 2013;56:234-

- Sphincter injury CCF incontinence scores from 16.5 → 3.8
- Success has been reported in patients with defects of up to 120°
- Sphincter defect, pudendal neuropathy, or a Hx of a previous sphincter repair did not decrease the efficacy of SNM

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

# **Percutaneous Tibial Nerve Stimulation**

- Electrical stimulation to the posterior tibial nerve in multiple successive treatments
- Median decrease of 4 points from pretreatment CCF scores
- Median change of 4 episodes per week in short-term follow up

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Artificial Bowel Sphincter**

 59% of devices were still functional at 5year follow-up

## ABS is generally reserved for:

- Patients in whom all other treatments have failed
- extensive sphincter destruction >180<sup>o</sup>
- Congenital malformations
- Neurogenic incontinence from spinal cord injury
- Postsurgical significant bowel dysfunction with intact anal canal anatomy

Hong KD, et al. Longterm outcomes of artificial bowel sphincter for fecal incontinence: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2013 ;217:718–725

Melenhorst J, et al. The artificial bowel sphincter for faecal incontinence: a single centre study. *Int J Colorectal Dis. 2008;23:107–111.* 

Ruiz Carmona MD, et al. Long-term results of artificial bowel sphincter for the treatment of severe faecal incontinence: are they what we hoped for? Colorecta

Wong MT, et al. The artificial bowel sphincter: a single institution experience over a decade. Ann Surg. 2011;254:951–956.

![](_page_37_Picture_12.jpeg)

# **Artificial Bowel Sphincter**

## High rate of complications:

- Infections (acute and chronic)
- Device erosions
- Anorectal ulcerations
- Device malfunction secondary to leaking of fluid from the device
- Device migration
- Pain
- Constipation

Wexner SD, et al. Factors associated with failure of the artificial bowel sphincter: a study of over 50 cases from Cleveland Clinic Florida. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 1557–52:1550;2009

Mundy L, et al. Systematic review of safety and effectiveness of an artificial bowel sphincter for faecal incontinence. Br J Surg. 2004;91:665-672

![](_page_38_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **AMS Artificial Sphincter**

#### **Artificial Bowel Sphincter**

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Creation of a Stoma**

- 83% of patients with FI who had a permanent colostomy - significant improvement in lifestyle
- 84% of the patients would choose to have the stoma created again

Tan EK, et al. Surgical strategies for faecal incontinence–a decision analysis between dynamic graciloplasty, artificial bowel sphincter and end stoma. *Colorectal Dis. 2008;10:577–586* 

Colquhoun P, et al. Correlating the Fecal Incontinence Quality-of-Life Score and the SF-36 to a proposed Ostomy Function Index in patients with a stoma. Ostomy Wound Manage. 2006;52:68–74.

Norton C, et al. Patients' views of a colostomy for fecal incontinence. Dis Colon Rectum. 2005;48:1062-1069

![](_page_41_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **Magnetic Sphincter**

- String of titanium beads with a magnetic core that is implanted to encircle the anus
- Preliminary evaluations from pilot studies fairly good efficacy despite lower closing pressure

Wong MT, et al. The magnetic anal sphincter versus the artificial bowel sphincter: a comparison of 2 treatments for fecal incontinence. *Dis Colon Rectum.* .779–54:773;2011

Wong MT, et al. Does the magnetic anal sphincter device compare favourably with sacral nerve stimulation in the management of faecal incontinence? *Colorectal Dis.* 2012;14:e323–e329.

L ehur PA, et al. Magnetic anal sphincter augmentation for the treatment of fecal incontinence: a preliminary report from a feasibility study. Dis Colon Recta 2010;53:1604–1610

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

Expands to allow stool passage, then reapproximates

Implanted around anal canal to maintain closure

![](_page_43_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Anal continence plug